1	ROBERT W. FREEMAN Nevada Bar No. 3062		
2	CAYLA WITTY Nevada Bar No. 12897 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118		
3			
4			
5	702.893.3383 FAX: 702.893.3789		
6	Attorneys for Defendants County of Clark,		
7	Kathleen Lambermont		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10	***		
11	JACQUELYNN NICKLER,	CASE NO. 2:14-cv-1907-JCM-CWH	
12	Plaintiff,	CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT AND PROTECTIVE ORDER	
13	vs. COUNTY OF CLARK, organized and of the		
14	existing under the laws of State of Nevada; STEVEN D. GRIERSON, court administrator,		
15	individually; KATHLEEN LAMBERMONT, administrator of the Clark County District		
16	Attorney's office, individually; and DOE 1 through 10, inclusive,		
17	Defendants.		
18	——————————————————————————————————————		
19	Plaintiff Jacquelynn Nickler, by and through her counsel of record, Cal J. Potter, III, Esq.,		
20	and C.J. Potter, IV, Esq., Defendant Steven D. Grierson, by and through his counsel of record,		
21	Senior Deputy Attorney General Frederick J. Perdomo, Esq., and Defendants Clark County and		
22	Kathleen Lambermont, by and through their attorneys, Robert W. Freeman, Esq., and Cayla Witty,		
23	Esq., hereby agree and stipulate as follows:		
24	1. The parties enter into this Stipulat	tion and Protective Order under Federal Rule of	
25	Civil Procedure 26(c) to establish procedures for the handling of documents produced by the		
26	parties in response to discovery requests.		
27	2. Any party may designate and mar	k certain documents produced in response to	
28	discovery requests as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "S	UBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER." The party	

LEWIS BRISBOIS

BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4833-5978-1176.1

1

2 3

5 6

4

8

9

7

10

11 12

13 14

> 15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

designating such documents shall be referred to as the Designating Party and any party in receipt of such documents shall be referred to as the Receiving Party. Documents so marked may be used only for purposes of this litigation.

- Except as otherwise ordered by this Court, documents marked "CONFIDENTIAL" 3. or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER" and the contents of documents so marked may be disclosed only to the parties, including employees and agents of Clark County necessary for the purposes of this litigation, and the following persons:
 - counsel of record for Plaintiff; (a)
 - counsel of record for Defendants; (b)
 - the non-technical and clerical staff employed by counsel of record; (c)
- interpreters and copying services employed by counsel of record's employer (d) to the extent reasonably necessary to render professional services in this case;
 - any private court reporter retained by counsel for depositions in this case; (e)
- subject to the terms of paragraph 5, persons retained by counsel to serve as (f) expert witnesses or consultants in this case; and
- personnel of the Court, including court reporters, officials and employees of (g) the Clerk of Court, and staff of the presiding United States District Judge and United States Magistrate Judge, to the extent deemed necessary by the Court.
- If counsel for a Receiving Party determines that it is necessary to disclose any 4. document marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER" to any persons other than the individuals included in paragraph 3, that counsel shall set forth the grounds for the disclosure and seek the written consent of counsel for the Designating Party. The Designating Party shall respond to the Receiving Party's request within seven calendar days unless the Receiving Party agrees to a longer period. If counsel for the Designating Party does not consent, counsel for the Receiving Party and counsel for the Designating Party shall within five court days of the Designating Party's response meet and confer in person or telephonically regarding the issue, during which meeting and conference counsel for the Receiving Party shall specify the reasons why disclosure is necessary. If any agreement is not reached, the Designating

Party shall move the Court within the ten calendar days of the meeting and conference for a protective order preventing disclosure. The Receiving Party shall not disclose the document unless the Designating Party has failed to file a motion within the time allowed or the Court has denied the motion.

- document marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER" to an expert or consultants retained to render professional services in this case, that counsel shall notify counsel for the Designating Party in writing at least seven days before the proposed disclosure with the name of the expert or consultant. The Designating Party shall respond to the Receiving Party's notification within seven calendar days unless the Receiving Party agrees to a longer period. If counsel for the Designating Party objects, counsel for the Receiving Party and counsel for the Designating Party shall within five court days of the Designating Party's response meet and confer in person or telephonically regarding the issue. If an agreement is not reached, the Designating Party shall move the court within ten calendar days of the meeting and conference for a protective order preventing disclosure. The Receiving Party shall not disclose the document unless the Designating Party has failed to file a motion within the time allowed or the Court denies the motion.
- 6. Any party may object to the propriety of the designation of documents as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER" by objecting and setting forth in writing the grounds for the objection. The Designating Party shall respond to the Receiving Party's objection within seven calendar days unless the Receiving Party agrees to a longer period. If an agreement is not reached, counsel for the Receiving Party and counsel for the Designating Party shall within five court days of the Designating Party's response meet and confer in person or telephonically, during which meeting and conference counsel for the Receiving Party shall specify the grounds for objection with respect to each document at issue. If the parties cannot agree, then the Designating Party will then have ten calendar days after the conference of counsel to file a motion to preserve the confidentiality designation. The burden of proof to demonstrate confidential treatment of any information at all times remain with the Designating Party. The

4833-5978-1176.1

VIS 28

parties shall treat the documents as the subject to this Stipulation and Order unless the Designating Party has failed to file a motion within the time allowed or the Court has denied the motion.

7. Before disclosing any document marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER" to any person identified in subparagraph (c) of paragraph 3, counsel of record for the Receiving Party shall advise that person of the terms of this Stipulation and Protective Order and that he or she is bound by those terms. In addition, before disclosing any document marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER" to any person identified in subparagraphs (d), (e), or (f) of paragraph 3, counsel for the Receiving Party shall ensure that the person (1) has read and agrees to the terms of this Protective Order and (2) has acknowledged his or her agreement by signing a copy of the attached Acknowledgment before any such document is disclosed to him or her:

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I have read the Stipulation and Protective Order Governing Documents Produced by the Parties in this case. I understand its terms and agree to be bound by the terms of the Protective Order. I understand that my duties under the Protective Order will survive the termination of this case and that failure to comply with its terms may result in the District Court imposing sanctions on me. I consent to personal jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada for the purpose of enforcing the Protective Order.

- 8. Counsel for each party shall retain copies of the Acknowledgment forms executed by persons authorized for access on behalf of that party until this litigation, including all appeals, concludes. Nothing in this Protective Order restricts the Designation Party's own disclosure of documents marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER."
- 9. Any person receiving access to a document marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER" shall maintain the document, any copies of the document, and any information derived from the document in a confidential manner and shall take steps to avoid disclosure to persons not authorized under this Order to have access to the documents or information.
 - 10. Within thirty days of the conclusion of this litigation, including all appeals, counsel

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP for the Receiving Party shall destroy or send to counsel for the Designating Party all copies of documents marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER."

Notwithstanding this paragraph, however, the parties' attorneys may retain one copy of each document filed with the Court that contains or refers to any of the designated documents. Furthermore, nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to require the parties' attorneys to disclose any attorney work-product to opposing counsel.

- 11. In connection with a deposition in this case, a witness or any counsel may indicate that a question or answer refers to the content of a document marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER." If the indication occurs on the record during the deposition, all persons not authorized to review such documents shall leave the deposition room until completion of the answers referring to the document and the reporter shall mark the transcript of the designated testimony "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER."
- 12. This Order does not seal court records in this case or apply to disclosure of Protected Material at trial. If any party wishes to submit into the written record of this case any document marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER" or excerpts from any such document, that party shall seek to submit the document under seal. Further, the parties understand that documents may be filed under seal only with the permission of the Court after proper motion. Further, the fact that documents have been designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" shall not be admissible evidence that the documents in fact contain information entitled to protection from disclosure under the law.

In the event a Party seeks to file Protected Materials with the Court, those documents shall be filed under seal pursuant to Local Rule IA 10-5 for the U.S. District Court of Nevada and the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006). If the sole ground for a motion to seal is that the opposing party (or non-party) has designated a document as subject to protection pursuant to this Stipulated Protective Order, the movant must notify the opposing party (or non-party) at least seven days prior to filing the designated document. The Designating Party must then make a good faith determination if the relevant standard for sealing is met. To the extent the Designating Party does not believe the

relevant standard for sealing can be met, it shall indicate that the document may be filed publicly no later than four days after receiving notice of the intended filing. To the extent the Designating Party believes the relevant standard for sealing can be met, it shall provide a declaration supporting that assertion no later than four days after receiving notice of the intended filing. The filing party shall then attach that declaration to its motion to seal the designated material. If the Designating Party fails to provide such a declaration in support of the motion to seal, the filing party shall then file a motion to seal so indicating and the Court may order the document filed in the public record.

- 13. Nothing in this Stipulation and Protective Order prevents any party from challenging any assertion of privilege by any party, and nothing in this Stipulation and Protective Order constitutes a waiver of any assertion of privilege by any party or precludes any party from moving for consideration of information ex parte and in camera.
 - 14. Anyone found to be in violation of this Order may have sanctions imposed against

/// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// ///

4833-5978-1176.1

- 1	
1	him or her as the Court may determine and allowable under law and may also be subject to
2	contempt of court proceedings.
3	DATED this day of September, 2016.
4	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH
5	100000 MB. 12897
6	Robert W. Freeman, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 3062
7	6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Attorneys for Defendants Clark County
9	and Kathleen Lambermont
	DATED this day of September, 2016.
10	POTTER LAW OFFICES
11	
12	Cal J. Potter, III, Esq.
13	C.J. Potter, W, Esq. 1125 Shadow Lane
14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorneys for Plaintiff Jacquelynn Nickler
15	
16	DATED this day of September, 2016.
17	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
18	Frederick J. Perdomo, Esq.
19	Deputy Attorney General
20	Bureau of Litigation Public Safety Division
	1/00 N. Carson Street
21	Carson, City, Nevada 89701-4717 Attorneys for Defendant Steven Grierson
22	φ ² τ
23	ORDER
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	DATED this September 27 2016.
26	Carolin
27	
28	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUNGE

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LIP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4833-5978-1176.1

1	him or her as the Court may determine and allowable under law and may also be subject to
2	contempt of court proceedings.
3	DATED this day of September, 2016.
4	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH
5	
6	Robert W. Freeman, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 3062
7	6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
8	Attorneys for Defendants Clark County and Kathleen Lambermont
9	
10	DATED this day of September, 2016.
11	POTTERLAW OFFICES
12	Cal J. Potter, III, Esq.
13	C.J. Potter, IV, Esq. 1125 Shadow Lane
14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorneys for Plaintiff Jacquelynn Nickler
15	
16	DATED this day of September, 2016.
17	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
18	Frederick J. Perdomo, Esq.
19	Deputy Attorney General Bureau of Litigation
20	Public Safety Division 100 N. Carson Street
21	Carson, City, Nevada 89701-4717
22	Attorneys for Defendant Steven Grierson
23	ORDER
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	DATED this day of, 2016.
26	
27	
28	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	4833-5978-1176.1

1	him or her as the Court may determine and allowable under law and may also be subject to
2	contempt of court proceedings.
3	DATED this day of September, 2016;
4	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH
5	
6	Robert W. Freeman, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 3062
7	6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Attorneys for Defendants Clark County
	and Kathleen Lambermont
9	DATED this day of September, 2016.
10	
11	POTTER LAW OFFICES
12	Cal J. Porter, III, Esq.
13	C.J. Porter, IV, Esq.
14	1125 Shadow Lane Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
	Attorneys for Plaintiff Jacquelynn Nickler
15	DATED this day of September, 2016.
16	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
17	orrice or the Attornet General
18	Fredrick J. Perdomo, Esq.
19	Senior Deputy Attorney General
20	Bureau of Litigation Public Safety Division
	100 N. Carson Street
21	Carson, City, Nevada 89701-4717 Attorneys for Defendant Steven Grierson
22	
23	<u>ORDER</u>
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	DATED this day of, 2016.
26	
27	
28	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4833-5978-1176.1